

ORIGINAL



0000020327

RECEIVED

2005 APR 27 P 4:39

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

FENNEMORE CRAIG
Norman D. James (No. 006901)
Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650)
3003 N. Central Avenue
Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Attorneys for Chaparral City
Water Company, Inc.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF CHAPARRAL CITY WATER
COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA
CORPORATION, FOR A
DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT
FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT
AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES
IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR
UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.

DOCKET NO. W-02113A-04-0616

**NOTICE OF FILING REVISED
REBUTTAL TABLE**

Chaparral City Water Company, an Arizona corporation ("Chaparral City"), hereby files Revised Rebuttal Table 5 in the above-referenced matter. This table is attached to the Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas M. Zepp. Unfortunately, the table, which is in Excel format, did print properly, and the two columns on the right-hand side of the table were omitted. The results are disclosed and discussed in Dr. Zepp's rebuttal testimony at pages 9 – 10, as well as his work papers, which were provided to the parties. We apologize for this oversight

DATED this 27th day of April, 2005.

FENNEMORE CRAIG

By Norm D. James

Norman D. James
Jay L. Shapiro
3003 North Central Ave., Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Attorneys for Chaparral City
Water Company

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 27 2005

DOCKETED BY

KA

1 ORIGINAL and 13 copies of the foregoing
2 delivered for filing this 27th day of April, 2005, to:

3 Docket Control
4 Arizona Corporation Commission
5 1200 W. Washington St.
6 Phoenix, AZ 85007

6 COPY hand-delivered this 27th day of April, 2005 to:

7 Teena Wolfe, Administrative Law Judge
8 Hearing Division
9 Arizona Corporation Commission
10 1200 West Washington
11 Phoenix, AZ 85007

11 David Ronald, Esq.
12 Legal Division
13 Arizona Corporation Commission
14 1200 West Washington
15 Phoenix, AZ 85007

15 Dan Pozefsky, Esq.
16 RUCO
17 1110 W. Washington, Ste. 220
18 Phoenix, AZ 85007

18 By: May E. Rowe
19 1659110.1/10696.002

Chaparral City Water Company

Revised Rebuttal Table 5

FERC One-Step (Constant Growth) Discounted Cash Flow Model Constructed with Mr. Ramirez's Data

	Spot	Adjusted	Growth Rates		Implied Cost of Equity	
	Dividend Yield	Dividend Yield	br+sv	Analysts' Forecasts	Low	High
	a	b	c	d	e	f
1 American States Water Co.	3.4%	3.5%	6.9%	10.1%	10.4%	-
2 California Water Service Group	3.2%	3.3%	6.2%	4.6%	7.9%	-
3 Aqua America Inc.	2.1%	2.2%	13.6%	8.1%	10.3%	-
4 Connecticut Water Service	3.2%	3.3%	6.2%	7.6%	9.5%	-
5 Middlesex Water Company	3.5%	3.7%	9.1%	7.6%	11.3%	-
6 SJW Corp.	2.8%	2.9%	5.6%	7.6%	8.6%	-
Average	3.0%	3.2%	7.9%	7.6%	9.6%	12.2%
Midpoint of range						10.9%

Notes and Sources

- a/ Current annual dividend yield derived from data in Mr. Ramirez work papers. Dividend for SJW Corp was updated.
- b/ Dividend yield adjusted for one-half years' growth per FERC method.
- c/ Based on br growth computed by Mr. Ramirez. If no br growth estimated, per ACC Staff method, the average br growth rate is assumed for the utility. All vs growth rates are vs growth rates derived by Mr. Ramirez. Per the FERC method, all br growth rates are adjusted to correct for Value Line reporting ROE on year-end equity.
- d/ Average of forecasts of growth for 2005-2008. See Rebuttal Table 4. Mr. Ramirez's comparable growth rate was 14.3%.
- e/ Sum of lowest growth rate and adjusted dividend yield.
- f/ Sum of highest growth rate and adjusted dividend yield.

4/12/2005